CANDOUR The British Views-Letter

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Vol XLII No 5 *Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper* May 1990

CHRISTIANITY AND THE QUESTION OF RACE

by FRANK BROOKES

FROM pulpits, church newsletters and the church press, Christians of all denominations are taking a battering from the multi-racialists. Catholic Justice and Peace groups, Bishops and lay leaders, church groups and councils of all kinds insistently hammer home the message, "If you are a Christian, then you must support racial integration, miscegenation and the one world, one race society." With this constant and virtually unanswered propaganda bursting in his ears, what chance has the Christian Nationalist, concerned with the love and survival of his own race, got of remaining a sane person?

For one thing, he can start by asking some questions and trying to remain objective. After all, as a Christian he is committed to the Truth. So what is the Truth? Does being a Christian require commitment to multi-racialism? I suggest it does not. This question, like all facing humanity, has two sides to it, and simple justice and fairness demand that both sides be carefully considered before any verdict is arrived at by the individual, by society or by the Church. My contention is that no such careful and fair consideration has been or is being given to what is a very serious question. Serious because it affects both the spiritual and material well-being of societies and, therefore, of individuals. Serious because a wrong understanding of what is at stake may well result in the destruction of all races and the consequent material and spiritual impoverishment of mankind.

Blanket Condemnation

A recently held Archdiocesan Pastoral Council meeting in my own Roman Catholic diocese of Liverpool condemned "Racism" - just like that! What was condemned was not simply race hatred - which all Christians must condemn - but by implication recognition of racial difference, which I suggest is a very different matter. To argue this necessarily involves the belief that all races are equal - not in the sense that all are children of the same Father and Creator, which again I suggest all Christians must accept, but are equal in having the same kind of abilities and intelligence and creativity. That I beg to question.

As far as I am aware there has been no full and fair consideration of the race issue in this country. Our "democratic" Race Relations legislation effectively ensures that no such consideration ever will occur. This, I charge, is a serious and immoral hindrance placed in the path of discovering Truth. As such, it is a sin and in so far as Christian churches and leaders aid and abet this situation they must share in its guilt. Apart from the fact that race is as much a gift of God as is any other human possession, and therefore something held in trust, multi-racialists give no consideration to the possibility that what suits one race need not necessarily suit another.

I would have thought it fairly obvious that one case of different races demonstrating different gifts is the way they have attained different levels of civilisation. True, environment affects peoples, but environments are first of all produced by peoples. Why advanced civilisations are brought about by a certain race is one point that demands proper consideration before we are all thrown haphazardly into one melting pot. For instance, the European has produced a highly technical, literate and complex civilisation, the benefits of which - health care, nutrition, wealth, etc. - have naturally attracted the envious from other cultures that have not attained this degree of success.

There is a very important basic issue to be faced here: why has the European proved more successful than any other group of mankind if all races are equal? This is not a religious question but a matter of genetics. It may be a religious question as to how the European should handle his success. It would also be a moral question as to how and if other cultures should share this European civilisation. In fact, does European success mean human success? In other words, is one form of civilisation necessarily suited to the whole of humanity? If this European achievement is indeed one in which all races should share, it most certainly does not follow that a multi-racial society would be able to maintain such a universally desirable way of life. Experience indicates that where other races have taken over a form of society created by the European, as in post-colonial Africa, that society in many cases has run down and virtually disintegrated. That observation is a perfectly fair and accurate one to make and has nothing whatever to do with what is called "racism".

Churchmen Confuse The Issue

In Christian thinking, if all people are children of God with the same rights to what they require in order to become what God wants them to become and to attain eternal life, that can be ensured without any form of multiracialism whatever. And that, to the Christian, is all that matters: that all mankind should have available all the means necessary to salvation. The Kingdom offered by Christ was emphatically not a kingdom of this world where He acknowledged Caesar's rights. Rather, it is an eschatalogical kingdom, not a multi-racial political state and insofar as church leaders try to identify the two then they are guilty of confusing the issue.

Archbishop Whelan of Bloemfontein, South Africa, defending apartheid as not in itself immoral, pointed out that the Catholic Church condemned as immoral any policy aimed at levelling varying ethnic groups into one amorphous mass. The Bishops of the U.S.A. declared that distinct racial groups have a right to exist and in 1948-49 the U.N. condemned genocide, a term extended to include the physical destruction or enforced integration of racial, national and religious groups.

So despite the utterances of present-day Bishops and other clergy, Christians should be aware that recognition of racial differences and determination to prevent the destruction of one's own race is most certainly not wrong. But the battle within the Christian communities will inevitably hot up as more pressure is brought to bear by the multi-racialists. It behoves Nationalists, therefore, to become increasingly better informed and determined in taking the war to the enemy! Remember: it is our race that is facing genocide via miscegenaton. And that is a moral evil!

THE CRIME OF QUESTIONING THE "HOLOCAUST"

ON 14th March the **Daily Telegraph** published an article by one Stephen Vizinczey about the "Holocaust", which in particular attacked the efforts of revisionist historians like David Irving and Professor Arno Mayer. A novelist himself, Vizinczey had the temerity to denigrate Irving as a "Neo-Nazi propagandist" who is "not engaged in thinking or reasoning in any generally accepted sense of the word"! This insulting outburst would appear to confirm what has long been the rule that the finding of any flaw in the "Holocaust" shall lay the discoverer open to admonitory attack. Vizinczey's yelps of rage were met by the following restrained rebuttal from David Irving, published in the 19th March issue of the **Telegraph**. Our own Leslie von Goetz also wrote a riposte. Although hers was not published, we have pleasure in reproducing it after Mr Irving's letter.

* * * *

SIR - Novelist Stephen Vizinczey accuses me of having "admitted" in **Hitler's War** that "the Holocaust" happened (in fact the phrase had not been coined when I drafted that book). He also accuses me of joining my "Fuhrer" in now denying it.

The facts are: 1988 laboratory tests on the "gas chambers" which are on display in Auschwitz yielded no significant trace of cyanide compounds; a simultaneous test on the genuine gas chamber used to delouse the slave labourers' clothing there yielded 1,050 mg of cyanide compounds per kilogram. The latter chamber, complete with gas-tight doors, peep-holes, etc. is <u>not</u> shown to tourists, who might well otherwise inquire why the chambers allegedly used to gas millions have no such safety precautions, no visible Prussian Blue staining on the walls, but gaps of three inches under their wooden doors, ordinary window glass in the doors, etc. Confronted with the forensic evidence, I deleted every reference to "factories of death" from the revised edition of my Hitler biography.

Most post-war eye-witness depositions, like Vizinczey's own testimony, refer to other aspects of the Jewish tragedy, like the inhuman deportations; the few that claim intimate knowledge of the "gassings" are wildly contradictory. The numerous testimonies in the Washington National Archives from the slave labourers who testified that there were <u>no</u> such gas chambers are rarely quoted nowadays. I can only suggest that the explanation for so many eye-witnesses lies within the realm of psychiatry, rather like the "Hiroshima pilot" who, it later turned out, had not been anywhere near the plane that dropped the Bomb. Mortal pride demands that an Auschwitz survivor must have seen gas chambers. As for the confession of Auschwitz commandant Rudolf Hoss, he himself claimed to have been tortured.

The Soviet authorities, in a real spirit of conciliation towards the Germans, have now released to them the Auschwitz Totenbucher: these "death lists" list a <u>total</u> 74,000 dead, not two, three or four million. As Prof. Arno Mayer - a Jewish scholar, some of whose claims Vizinczey also dismissed as "untruthful" - points out, more than half of the deaths in Auschwitz were from natural causes. There is no doubt that large numbers of Jews were murdered (by shootings), died in air raids, starved, died like Ann Frank in epidemics, or froze to death during the

evacuations in 1945. After V.E. Day, countless more - we now know from O.S.S. reports - were culled from the Displaced Persons camps in liberated Europe by the Haganah and whisked into new homes, lives and identities in the Middle East, leaving their old, discarded identities behind as "missing persons".

The truth is often uncomfortable: it is never totally ascertainable; its retrieval from the ruins of war is difficult enough without being labelled a "neo-Nazi propagandist".

DAVID IRVING.

* * * *

SIR - In spite of my name (derived from an American husband) I am British from birth and a History Graduate of London University. I am extremely surprised that a paper of your standing should not have at least edited Mr Stephen Vizinczey's article of 14th March so that it presented a coherent argument.

He has confused so many issues it is hard to know where to begin, but following the order of his article I will start with the question of whether it is possible for people to have a fair trial 45 years at least after the events in question, and when they have no access to possible witness on their behalf, whether witnesses to rebut details of fact or witnesses to wrong identity. Wapping Police were not tried because only four years had elapsed. When Australia drafted her law to put such people on trial it transpired that Australians would also come under such a law because, for example, they had murdered some 300 prisoners-of-war in cold blood. The law was reworded to make sure only enemy, or former enemy, nationals could be brought under it!

The second point of confusion is the question of Hitler's personal responsibility for the planned extermination of the Jews. Obviously this is a matter which can be, or should be, discussed without reference to the method used, or whether that method was possible or successful. In spite of the "impeccable files of German bureaucracy" I have never seen evidence on this point.

Thirdly there is the question of the method of extermination and this is where the evidence has been found to be so flimsy that many countries have found it easier to make it a crime to question any of the details rather than rebut the points at issue. There can be no doubt that millions died of starvation, overwork, typhus, beatings, shootings, etc. There is massive evidence for this. On the other hand, the question of gassing carries great problems.

Fourthly there is the question of the actual numbers exterminated. Mr Vizinczey's argument that neighbours were taken and never seen again is rather loose. I myself was at school in Devon when all the enemy aliens were rounded up and interned overnight. I never saw many of those again and some, indeed, did lose their lives, sunk on the way to Canada, lost in air-raids and so forth, but I would hardly suppose their failure to return post-war to a country which had treated them so would be proof of their death. A recent book, **Other Losses**, about the treatment, post-war, of Germans who were prisoners in American and French hands, has been vigorously attacked on the question of numbers - the title of the book deriving from the classification used in U.S. Army records. If

1 million is totally incredible for the conditions described in the book, the figure of 6 million also seems too high, although that in no way diminishes the horror of inhuman brutality and treatment.

Finally there is Mr Vizinczey's extraordinary statement that although Nazis may be only a "militant minority" these days, they are surrounded by millions "who are as resentful about the reimposition of the Versailles borders as they were after the 1914-18 war". What reimposition? Has Mr Vizinczey ever looked at a map? Does he not know that the frontiers of Poland were moved westward some 200 miles so that the U.S.S.R. took a great chunk and Poland then got a great chunk of pre-war Germany? Does he not know that millions of ethnic Germans were forcibly relocated after this, and that those who stayed within their Versailles borders had to speak Polish as the price of staying home? If Britain is to remind "the world of what the Allies fought against" let us at least face up to the fact that we went to war to defend the territorial integrity of Versailles Poland and that stays lost. If it is no time to forget crimes against humanity, please let us hear more of the crimes committed on the Allied side without imprisoning or impugning the honesty of the historians who reveal them.

LESLIE VON GOETZ.

AVAILABLE FROM CANDOUR TAPES. We stock the following tapes by David Irving on which this energetic researcher and dynamic speaker mesmerises his listeners with facts and hilarious anecdotes, including dramatic revelations about the true character of Winston Churchill:

INTERNATIONAL REVISIONIST CONFERENCE, 1983 (2xC60): £8
DAVID IRVING IN CANADA (2xC60): £8 DAVID IRVING IN AUSTRALIA (C90): £5
THE MANIPULATION OF TWENTIETH CENTURY HISTORY (C90): £5

Please make cheques payable to CANDOUR RESEARCH DEPARTMENT and send to Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire.

A TRIM LOT OF CRAFT

YOU the people may protest
Dare to presume that you know best;
My Cabinets just fall apart
And squalid Socialist upstart
Heaps me with indignities
Whilst medics find my style disease
Even churchmen huff and puff
At my bluster and my bluff
And pensioners just can't control
Their anger at my tax called Poll.
But I don't give a jot for lives
My dictum is to privatise
Water 'Phones, Volts, Gas as well,
The Air we breathe - Heaven and Hell
If my instinct so decrees
I'll do just as I damn well please!
First I'm Thatcher, then P.M.,
To all your whines I say 'Amen'
And should I go, you can be sure
My replacement will be no more
Than puppet, wilful, on a string
And God help him if he should
bring
Greatness back to this your land,
Unify true British brand
Of sacrifice and pride of race
Instead of laws towards disgrace!
I'll not be trimmed, I will not
turn -
And you poor fools, you'll never
learn!

B.E. BIGGS

OPEN SESAME is an anthology of the poems of B.E. Biggs. Only £1 from Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants

SOUTH AFRICA - THE I.R.A. CONNECTION

by ANTHONY COONEY

"From land to land throughout the world the news is going round
That Ireland's flag triumphant waves on high o'er English ground
In far off Africa today the English flag dismayed,
Before the flag of green and gold borne by MacBride's brigade..."

WHAT would Ed Koch give to silence the New York Irish? If he knew some Irish history he could tell them that the I.R.A. is descended from the Irish Volunteer Brigades originally raised to fight for Apartheid! The Irish-American tourists visiting ambush and murder sites might be taken aback by that bit of news. The B.B.C. and I.T.V. in one of their many jaunts into Irish history and troubles might even tell us a little bit about it.

It's some years now since we last saw those flickering news-reels of Easter 1916. How many viewers noticed the hats of Kommandant-General Padraig Pearse and his fellow Kommandants? There is silence unbroken on the question of where the Irish Volunteers got their curious ranks, insignia, hats and expertise with the German Mauser rifle. It almost seems not polite nowadays to make the point by asking the question. There is no answer in recent British publications. The latest book on De Valera does not even ask the question, let alone reveal the answer (**Eamon De Valera**, Owen Dudley Edwards, University of Wales Press, 1988). Even in South Africa the part played by the 1st and 2nd Irish Volunteer Brigades has gone unmarked by any memorial, but that is where the 1916 heroes got their voortrekker hats and ranks from.

Fighting The Gold Interest

Back in the 1940s when a South African connection wasn't embarrassing, McManus in his biography **De Valera** recorded the bare facts. Pearse and his fellow Republicans were convinced that the cause of the little Farmer-Republics in their stand against Imperialism and the Gold Interest was the cause of Ireland too. That was not an unusual point of view in Ireland at the time, but whilst respectable Nationalists like John Dillon were content to cheer Boer victories from the safety of Dublin, the romantic (and largely Catholic) Republicans packed their bags and went off to fight for the Orange Free State, Afrikaaner Protestantism and Apartheid.

The raising of the Irish Brigades was the work of Sean MacBride and Maude Gonne, with Arthur Griffiths drumming up support at home. The 1st Brigade was commanded by Colonel J.Y.F. Blake, with MacBride as second-in-command. President Kruger greeted them with the words, "Thank you for coming. Don't imagine that we had need of you. Afrika wants no foreign help. But as you wish to fight for us you are welcome."

The Irish were in the war from the start, taking up positions on the Natal border before the first shots were fired. At Talana they faced the Royal Dublin Fusiliers, the special object of Griffiths' venom at home. 253 officers and men of the Fusiliers were taken prisoner. At Nicholson's Neck the Irish Brigade held the Afrikaaner centre, holding up the British advance. At the battle of Colenso they again faced the Royal Dublin Fusiliers. Ferocious threats and insults were shouted

between the two camps before the fighting began. Colenso was a disaster for the British, but both the 1st Irish Volunteer Brigade and the Royal Dublin Fusiliers came out of it with credit. The Brigade covered the Afrikaaner retreat from Ladysmith, rescuing Generals Botha and Meyer from a British ambush. They were the last unit to cross the Vaal River and after the battle of Dalmunutha they retreated in good order to the Mozambique frontier where they surrendered their arms and from where the majority escaped to America.

The 2nd Irish Brigade was raised by Colonel A.A. Lynch, who at the outbreak of the war had been **Daily Mail** correspondent in Paris. After the war he was sentenced to death, commuted to life imprisonment, and let off with a year. He was a polymath, publishing books on a wide variety of subjects, his last in 1932 being **The Case Against Einstein**. Besides practising medicine he was M.P. for Clare West from 1909 to 1918. Lynch's brigade covered the Afrikaaner retreat from Natal. After the relief of Ladysmith General Buller was driving the Afrikaaner forces pell mell before him, and expected to over-run them. Lynch was given the task of halting the British advance. He did so on the ridge of Washbank. Eventually driven off the ridge, the 2nd Brigade fired the grass and after retreating 12 km. turned to fight again. By the time they were dislodged the Afrikaaner army was safely over the ridge of Blesboklaagte and General Buller had been robbed of a complete victory. The survivors of the 2nd Brigade were disbanded on 31st May, 1900, and sent to join the 1st Brigade on the Vaal River.

Pearse's "Dramatic Gesture"

From America the die-hards of the Irish Volunteer Brigades filtered back to Ireland. They brought in their baggage the cherished voor-trekker hats and uniforms. They dreamed of fighting again the battles of the veldt, of galloping to glory with bandoliers and mausers slung across their backs. Griffiths fed the romantic vision. His influence was restricted to a small Dublin circle, yet by the blood sacrifice of Easter 1916 that circle was to determine the whole course of Irish history. Pearse and his fellow Kommandants saw only the dramatic gesture which would make the dream reality; they could not have seen, beyond the gesture, the house-to-house fighting which turned the reality into a nightmare, far from the chivalry of war on the veldt. They expected to be treated as the Boer kommandants had been treated; instead they were executed. When Kommandant-General Pearse ordered his Irish Volunteer Army to surrender, a boy of sixteen threw his rifle into the gutter. His name was Michael Collins, and from that gesture the I.R.A. was born.

Pearse and his friends fought cleanly and openly. They fought against the odds. Their execution spared them the dishonour of those who in their name sneak out at night to plant bombs in barracks. They would have loathed the Irish-American tourists who, never having heard a shot fired in anger, relish the scenes of death. Ed Koch they would have merely despised.

Anthony Cooney is editor of **Liverpool Newsletter**. Annual subscription £2 per annum from 17 Hadassah Grove, Lark Lane, Liverpool L17 8XH.

Read SPEARHEAD. Edited by John Tyndall and published in support of the B.N.P. Sample copy 80p from P.O. Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

CHRISTIAN PATRIOT OFFERS BATTLE

ONE of our readers will be offering himself as a Parliamentary candidate in the forthcoming by-election soon to held in Bootle. His name is DAVID BLACK and he is standing under the banner of the CHRISTIAN PATRIOTIC ALLIANCE/SAVE BRITAIN CAMPAIGN.

His political platform is justice and order, with a strong emphasis on pro-life, pro-family and pro-country policies. He has stood at four previous by-elections, including the recent Mid-Staffs one.

We are pleased to support David's appeal for assistance and have already contacted our readers in the north-west. If anybody else feels able to offer him any help in the weeks ahead, would you please write to him at 12 Waverley Road, Reading, Berkshire RG3 2PX.

Here are some extracts from David Black's last election brochure:

"Since 1967 there have been 3 million babies in Britain legally killed in the womb. Now they tell us we will be short of young people in the 1990s - surprise, surprise! However, do not panic - they have a solution. While the British people are legally aborting themselves out of existence, 3 million betrayed Hong Kong Chinese will be arriving here to make up the numbers....

"We in Britain were hustled into the Common Market on the strength of an expanding market. The reality is that the populations of all West European countries are in rapid decline. We became instead a dumping ground for Common Market goods with the consequent loss of hundreds and thousands of jobs. Our farmers are unfairly burdened with an exchange rate of the green pound which makes their exports expensive and imports from other European farmers cheap. We make a net contribution of £1½ billion a year to the Common Market. This amount, if applied to our N.H.S., would go a long way to solving all its financial problems....

"Look who is really running it - the bankers (responsible for your high interest rates), big business (responsible for the elimination of small and medium-sized businesses and unemployment), and the bureaucrats (responsible for directives, decisions, laws, regulations, restrictions, etc. etc.)...

"The Communist police states of Eastern Europe are now being tarted up for a future merger with the big business Common Market - another step, without a shot being fired, towards a One World Communist state financed by the Godless super-rich...."

BENEFITS OF THE SO-CALLED COUNTER-REVOLUTION

ROMANIA: Abortions for all.
YUGOSLAVIA: Brothels for some.
ALL OF EASTERN EUROPE: Banks for all - longer-term debt and more debts for everybody.
UNITED GERMANY: Another lease of public life for the "Holocaust".
ROME AND MOSCOW: Open instead of secret agreement.
IRELAND: Legalised sodomy.
CANADA: Turbans for the Mounties.
ONE WORLD: Many wars instead of just One or Two.

R. de B.

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £6.00 OVERSEAS £8.00 AIRMAIL U.S. $15 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD, England. [Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle]